

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES PARTMENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,118	10/11/2005	Nicolas Goujon	14.0207-PCT-US	2655
WesternGeco	7590 08/02/2007		EXAM	INER
Intellectual Property Department P O Box 2469 Houston, TX 77252-2469			MCELHENY JR, DONALD E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Occurrence	10/534,118	GOUJON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donald E. McElheny, Jr.	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-10, 12-19 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applirity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/16/07.	Paper No(s)/N	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/534,118

Art Unit: 2857

1.

Claims 6-10, 12-19 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper

Page 2

form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend upon another multiple

dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6-10, 12-19 are not

been further treated on the merits as to prior art rejections.

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the

conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is

directed to non-statutory subject matter and the claimed invention lacks patentable

utility.

Please be advised that the "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent

Applications for Subject Matter Eligibility" was signed on Oct 26, 2005 and posted on

the uspto.gov website. The link is:

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/ogsheet.html

Also see updated (Eighth Edition, Revision 5 (August 2006)) MPEP 2106, which

covers algorithm based and computer implemented inventions and the manner in which

they may be claimed and find statutory basis under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 18 is clearly directed to solely "A program", which is explicitly covered in

the MPEP, Guidelines, and case law as non-statutory subject matter not falling within

one of the statutory categories of invention permitted under 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2857

5. Claims 6-10, and 12-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, and under 35 U.S.C. 112, fourth paragraph as being improper dependent claims.

Claims 6-10, and 12-24 are improper dependent claims because they are directed not to the subject matter of the earliest parent claim and then further restrict and define that claim combination but begin with different subject matter and then reference and include the subject matter of the parent claim. Subject to the fourth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. Furthermore, these claims are indefinite for what combination and limitations they are truly directed and intended to cover, as some of these claims are directed to different statutory categories of invention in their implied or intended combination(s). For example, when a claim (such as 16) is directed to "A storage medium comprising a program for a data processor of an apparatus as defined in claim 15" such never even sets forth any method steps and thus nothing is claimed that would constitute any program steps or method steps, making the claim indefinite as to what it even begins to cover. Similar problems exist with other hybrid claims.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,118

Art Unit: 2857

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 4

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 7. Claims 1-3, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) & (b) as being clearly anticipated by Hutchins (GB 2030400 A).
- 8. Claims 1, 3/1, 5/1,3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) & (b) as being clearly anticipated by Bednar (WO 00/55648).
- 9. Claims 1-4, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) & (b) as being clearly anticipated by Berni (US Patent 4437175).
- 10. Further consideration of prior art upon dependent claims will not be entertained as they are improper dependent claims as discussed supra in the claim objections.

Art Unit: 2857

However, take note the prior art of record still meets these claims as apparent from at least the prior art rejections of such claims in corresponding foreign applications.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald McElheny, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-2218. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eliseo Ramos, can be reached on weekdays at telephone number 571-272-7925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald E. McElhény, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 2857